(1)

02

CF 700/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3007 /2005

PETITIONERS : 1) Smt. Sujata Behara, W/o. Shri Shiv P.Behara, aged about 28 years, Occupation- Owner of Photocopy Shops, R/o. Collectorate Campus, Raigarh (C.G.)

: 2) Prashant Mukherji, S/o. Shri Manoranjan Mukherji, aged about 50 years, Occupation- Owner of Mini Restorent (Jalpangrih), R/o. Collectorate Campus, Raigarh (C.G.)

: 3) Rupnarayan Thakur, S/o. Shri Ganga Sing Thakur, aged about 50 years, Occupation- Owner of Pan Center. Collectorate Campus, Raigarh (C.G.)

: 4) Sunau Ram Thawait, S/o. Shri Gangaram aged about 55 years, Occupation- Owner of Pan Center. Collectorate Campus, Raigarh (C.G.)

: 5) Shyam Singh Rajput, S/o. Shri Jwala Prasad, aged about 35 years, Occupation- Owner of Pan Center. Collectorate Campus, Raigarh (C.G.)

: 6) Ram Lal Sahu, S/o. Shri Laxman Prasad Sahu, aged about 36 years, Occupation- Owner of Pan Center. Collectorate Campus, Raigarh (C.G.)

: 7) Mithilesh Kumar Sahu, S/o. Shri Jagat Ram Sahu, aged about 25 years, Occupation- Owner of Pan Center. Collectorate Campus, Raigarh (C.G.)

Vs.

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, General Administration Department, DKS Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

: 2) Collector, Raigarh (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक 23

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3007/ सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15-7-2005

Mr. Satish Chandra Verma, counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

Heard both the counsel.

The petitioners' grievance in this writ petition is that some space was allotted to each of them for running their small shops in the premises of Collectorate, Raigarh, and now by the impugned notice, the Collector, Raigarh, has asked them to vacate that place.

While referring to the order of the Collector Annexure P-1, learned counsel for the petitioners argued that the Municipal Coporation, Raigarh, has constructed certain shops outside the premises of Collectorate building and the Collector has directed the petitioners to apply for regular allotment of those shops. He further argued that the petitioners have collected the applications and they are going to apply for regular allotment of those shops, but, since the Collector has given seven days' time to vacate which is a very short time for the petitioners who are running their shops since last 35 years, therefore, the period for vacation be extended till the allotment of regular shops.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, time granted by the Collector, Raigarh, to the petitioners for vacation of the said space is extended till the decision on applications for regular allotment of newly constructed shops is taken by the Municipal Corporation, Raigarh. The Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, should consider and decide the applications for regular allotment of newly constructed shops to the petitioners, as early as possible.

With the above directions, the petition stands disposed of.

In view of this order, M.(W.)P.No.2519/2005 and I.A.No.5879/2005 stand disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge